

CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD
SIP COMPLETENESS CHECKLIST
(Electronic Format)

*** TO BE COMPLETED BY DISTRICT AND RETURNED TO ARB ***

All rules submitted to the EPA as State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions must be supported by certain information and documentation for the rule packages to be deemed complete for review by the EPA. Rules will not be evaluated for approvability by the EPA unless the submittal packages are complete. To assist you in determining that all necessary materials are included in rules packages sent to the ARB for submittal to the EPA, please fill out the following form and include it with the rule package you send ARB. See the ARB's Guidelines on the Implementation of the 40 CFR 51, Appendix V, for a more detailed explanation than is provided here. Adopted rules and rule amendments should be checked against U.S. EPA's Guidance Document for Correcting Common VOC & Other Rule Deficiencies (Little Blue Book, August 21, 2001) to ensure that they contain no elements which will result in disapproval by EPA.

District: South Coast Air Quality Management District

Rule No: 219

Rule Title: Equipment Not Requiring a Written Permit Pursuant To Regulation II

Date Adopted or Amended: Amended May 3, 2013

ADMINISTRATIVE MATERIALS

Note: All documents should be in electronic format. Items that have signatures, initials, or stamps may be scanned.

<u>Attached</u>	<u>Not Attached</u>	<u>N/A</u>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>COMPLETE COPY OF THE RULE:</u> Provide an unmarked copy of the entire rule as adopted or amended by your District Board.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>UNDERLINE AND STRIKEOUT COPY OF THE RULE:</u> If an amended rule, provide a complete copy of the rule indicating in underline and strikeout format all language which has been added, deleted, or changed since the rule was last adopted or amended.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>COMPLETE COPY OF THE REFERENCED RULE(S):</u> For any rule which includes language specifically referencing another rule, a copy of that other rule must also be submitted, unless it has already been submitted to EPA as part of a previous SIP submittal. *NOTE: Rules 102, 1151, 109, 108, and 442 have been submitted to USEPA as previous SIP Submittals.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>PUBLIC NOTICE EVIDENCE:</u> Include a copy of the local newspaper clipping certification(s), stating the date of publication, which must be at least 30 days before the hearing. As an alternative, include a copy of the actual published notice of the public hearing as it appeared in the local newspaper(s). In this case, however, enough of the newspaper page must be included to show the date of publication. The notice must specifically identify by title and number each rule adopted or amended.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>RESOLUTION/MINUTE ORDER:</u> Provide the Board Clerk certified resolution or minute order. This document must include certification that the hearing was held in accordance with the information in the public notice. It must also list the rules that were adopted or amended, the date of the public hearing, and a statement of compliance with California Health and Safety Code Sections 40725-40728 (Administrative Procedures Act).
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>PUBLIC COMMENTS AND RESPONSES:</u> Submit copies of written public comments made during the notice period and at the public hearing. Also submit any written responses prepared by the District staff or presented to the District Board at the public hearing. A summary of the public comments and responses is adequate. If there were no comments made during the notice period or at the hearing, please indicate N/A to the left. *NOTE: Included in attach Staff Report

SIP COMPLETENESS CHECKLIST

(Electronic Format)

TECHNICAL MATERIALS

Note: All documents and forms should be in electronic format.

<u>Attached</u>	<u>Not Attached</u>	<u>N/A</u>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>RULE EVALUATION FORM:</u> See instructions for completing the Rule Evaluation Form and the accompanying sample form.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>NON-EPA TEST METHODS:</u> Attach all test methods that are referenced in your rule that do not appear in 40 CFR 51, 60, 61, 63, or have not been previously submitted to EPA. EPA methods used in other media such as SW846 for solid waste are not automatically approved for air pollution applications. Submittal of test methods that are not EPA-approved should include the information and follow the procedure described in Region 9's "Test Method Review & Evaluation Process." * NOTE: SCAQMD Laboratory Methods 302, 303 and 304, SCAQMD "Protocol for Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) capture efficiency," SCAQMD Method 25.1, CARB Method 422, and SCAQMD "Spray Equipment Transfer Efficiency Test Procedure for Equipment User, May 24, 1989" are not attached.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>MODELING SUPPORT:</u> Provide if appropriate. In general, modeling support is not required for VOC and NOx rules to determine their impacts on ozone levels. Modeling is required where a rule is a relaxation that affects large sources (\geq 100 TPY) in an attainment area for SO ₂ , directly emitted PM ₁₀ , CO, or NO _x (for NO ₂ purposes). In cases where EPA is concerned with the impact on air quality of rule revisions which relax limits or cause a shift in emission patterns in a nonattainment area, a reference back to the approved SIP will be sufficient provided the approved SIP accounts for the relaxation and provided the approved SIP used the current EPA modeling guidelines. If current EPA modeling guidelines were not used, then new modeling may be required.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>ECONOMIC AND TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION FOR DEVIATIONS FROM EPA POLICIES:</u> The District staff report or other information included with the submittal should discuss all potential relaxations or deviations from RACT, RACM, BACT, BACM, enforceability, attainment, RFP, or other relevant EPA requirements. This includes, for example, demonstrating that exemptions or emission limits less stringent than the presumptive RACT (e.g., a CTG) meet EPA's 5 percent policy, and demonstrating that all source categories exempted from a RACM/BACM rule are de minimus according to EPA's RACM/BACM policy.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>ADDITIONAL MATERIALS:</u> Provide District staff reports and any other supporting information concerning development of the rule or rule changes. This information should explain the basis for all limits and thresholds contained in the rule.